Andrew Towns	Case 2:10-cv-00303-RCJ-LRL Document 1	Filed 03/04/10 Page 1 of 6
1	SHARON L. NELSON Nevada Bar No. 6433	
2	NICHOLAS HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893	
3	NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	
5	Telephone: (702) 247-4529 Facsimile: (702) 737-4529	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff GREGORY ROBERTSON	
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
9	GREGORY J. ROBERTSON,	Case No.:
10	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
11	vs.	[JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]
12	WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC, a limited liability company;	[JOKI TRINE DESIGNATION
13	Defendant.	
14	Plaintiff GREGORY I ROBERTSON	(hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and
15	through his counsel, Sharon L. Nelson and Nicholas L. Hamilton of Nelson Law, hereby alleges	
16	11	
17	and states against WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC, a limited liability company, (hereinafter referred	
18	to as "Defendant") as follows:	
19	1. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an individual residing in the State of	
20		antin was an marrana 2
21	Nevada.	Defendant was a limited liability company doing
22		
23	business and licensed to conduct business in the State of Nevada.	
24		
	Pag	ge 1 of 6
	11	

Case 2:10-cv-00303-RCJ-LRL Document 1 Filed 03/04/10 Page 2 of 6

3. 1 All the acts and/or failures to act alleged herein were duly performed by and/or 2 are attributable to Defendant, acting by and through their agents and employees. Said acts and/or 3 failures to act were within the scope of said agency and/or employment, and the Defendant 4 ratified said acts and/or omissions. 5 4. At all times relevant herein, Defendant employed over twenty (20) employees. 5. 6 At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed as a showroom carpenter by 7 Defendant and had been so employed since 2004. 8 6. In February 2009, Plaintiff complained to his supervisor, Mike Herbert 9 ("Herbert"), that he witnessed another carpenter, Berry Lantrip ("Lantrip"), touching women 10 inappropriately and making degrading comments to them. 11 7. When Lantrip's inappropriate behavior continued, Plaintiff repeatedly complained 12 to Herbert. 13 8. Herbert claimed that Lantrip would be warned and if his behavior continued, he Lantrip would be suspended. 14 15 9. Lantrip continued in his behavior, so Plaintiff again complained to Herbert. 16 In March 2009, Plaintiff was told to attend a meeting with the head of the theater, 10. 17 Dale Hurt ("Hurt"), and Lantrip. 18 11. Plaintiff told Hurt that if nothing was done about Lantrip's behavior, Plaintiff 19 would be filing a complaint with human resources. 12. After Plaintiff's conversations with Hurt, Hurt was constantly watching to see if he could find something wrong with Plaintiff's work and screaming at Plaintiff.

22

21

20

23

24

- 13. When still nothing was done about Lantrip's behavior, Plaintiff filed a sexual harassment complaint with human resources against Lantrip and informed human resources that he had recorded the meeting between himself, Hurt and Lantrip.
- 14. On March 26, 2009, Plaintiff was suspended pending investigation because he had recorded the meeting.
 - 15. On April 10, 2009, Plaintiff was terminated.
- 16. The conduct to which Plaintiff was subjected was retaliatory in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (hereinafter referred to as "Title VII").
- 17. Plaintiff has satisfied all administrative prerequisites and has received a "Notice of Right to Sue" from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- 18. This Court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction of Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 as they are so related that they form part of the same case and controversy

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Retaliation in violation of Title VII and NRS 613.330)

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-18 by this reference the same as though fully set forth herein.
 - 20. Plaintiff complained to his employer about sexual harassment.
- 21. Shortly after Plaintiff's complaint about sexual harassment, he was subjected to suspension and other conduct adverse to his employment including termination.
- 22. The adverse conduct in which Defendant and its employees engaged towards Plaintiff was a direct result of his complaint about sexual harassment.
- 23. Defendant has no legitimate business reason which is not pretextual for Plaintiff's termination.

- 24. On information and belief, other employees of Defendant have tape recorded communications in the workplace, Defendant was aware either during or after the fact of such tape recording, and did not terminate those employees.
- 25. The treatment to which Plaintiff was subjected was retaliatory in violation of Title VII and NRS 613.330.
- 26. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury caused by the conduct of Defendant and its employees.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendant and its employees, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 28. Additionally, the conduct of Defendant and its employees has been malicious, fraudulent and oppressive and was designed to vex, annoy or harass Plaintiff and thus Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligent Training and/or Supervision)

- 29. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-28 by this reference the same as though fully set forth herein.
 - 30. Defendant has a duty to properly hire, train and supervise its employees.
- 31. Defendant's duty to properly hire, train and supervise extends to all persons, including Plaintiff, in its employ.
- 32. Defendant failed to properly hire, train and supervise its agents, servants or employees herein, including but not limited to, Lantrip, Herbert and Hurt.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of said duty, Defendant's agents, servants or employees' conduct against Plaintiff unlawfully and willfully subjected Plaintiff to retaliation in violation of Federal Law.

	Case 2:10-cv-00303-RCJ-LRL Document 1 Filed 03/04/10 Page 5 of 6
1	34. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled damages in an amount in
2	excess of \$10,000.00
3	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:
4	1. Actual and general damages in excess of \$10,000.00;
5	2. Punitive and exemplary damages where appropriate in excess of \$10,000.00;
6	3. Statutory damages as allowed by law in excess of \$10,000.00;
7	4. Liquidated damages in excess of \$10,000.00;
8	5. Equitable relief;
9	6. Attorney's fees, costs and expenses;
10	7. For such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.
11	Dated this <u>4</u> day of March 2010.
12	NELSON LAW
13	
13 14	Albalas Homilton SHARON I. NELSON
	SHARON L. NELSON Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON
14	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893
14 15	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100
14 15 16	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
14 15 16 17	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff, GREGORY J. ROBERTSON
1415161718	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff, GREGORY J. ROBERTSON
141516171819	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff, GREGORY J. ROBERTSON
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff, GREGORY J. ROBERTSON
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff, GREGORY J. ROBERTSON
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff, GREGORY J. ROBERTSON

Case 2:10-cv-00303-RCJ-LRL Document 1 Filed 03/04/10 Page 6 of 6

[
1	JURY DEMAND
2	Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.
3	Dated thisday of March 2010.
4	NELSON LAW
5	
6	Mahales (torifton SHARON L. NELSON
7	Nevada Bar No. 6433 NICHOLAS L. HAMILTON
8	NICHOLAS E. HAMILTON Nevada Bar No. 10893 NELSON LAW
9	401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 100
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff, GREGORY J. ROBERTSON
11	GREGORY J. ROBERTSON
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	